

Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Council

Audit progress report and sector updates

30 September 2025



Contents

1	Audit progress report	03
2	Introduction	04
3	Progress at 30 September 2025	06
4	Audit deliverables	15
5	Sector update	17
6	Audit Committee resources	29

Audit Progress Report

Introduction

This paper provides the Audit and Governance Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes a series of sector updates in respect of emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

Members of the Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications:

<https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/industries/public-sector/local-government/>

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Key Grant Thornton team members



Barrie Morris

Key Audit Partner

E: Barrie.Morris@uk.gt.com

Barrie is a Partner based within our Bristol office and leads the Firm's public sector engagement with our regulators, the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) and the Quality Assurance Department of the Institute of Chartered Accountants England and Wales (QAD).

Barrie will have ultimate responsibility for delivering a high quality audit which meets professional standards. He is the key contact for the Chief Executive, the s.151 Officer and the Audit and Governance Committee, meeting frequently with key members of management.



Katie Whybray

Senior Manager

E: Katie.V.Whybray@uk.gt.com

Katie's role is to manage the overall delivery of the audit and will ensure that all work allocated is carried out on a timely basis in accordance with the firm's professional standards and to the satisfaction of the Council and the Audit Partner.



Adams Azubilla

Assistant Manager

E: Adams.H.Azubilla@uk.gt.com

Adams is leading on the detailed testing and project management of the audit and addresses queries in respect of technical and audit issues identified during the course of our work.

Adams allocates work to other members of the audit team, ensuring they understand their responsibilities and have received appropriate on-the-job training / coaching.

Progress at 30 September 2025

Draft Financial Statements 2024/25

Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Council published its draft financial statements on the 30 June 2025, meeting the national deadline for publishing draft accounts.

Our audit team commenced their work at the beginning of July and we have noted some areas of improvement in the Council's capacity to respond to audit queries over previous years due to additional resource in place to support the process. However, there are some areas where delays have been encountered such as housing benefits where we have not received any working papers from the Council to commence our review in this area.

Our IT work is still in progress with all areas concluded on except the migration of the new council tax, NNDR and housing benefits system where we are still working through reconciliations to order to gain sufficient assurance over the migration process. This review has been complex due to the separate legacy systems in place which have migrated to the Capital Cloud system.

There are some areas of audit testing where testing has not commenced at this stage, however, we are aiming to complete most of the work by the end of October 2025.

This report therefore sets out our progress against the significant risk areas of the audit. As at the end of September 2025 we are continuing to work through the significant risk areas as well as other areas of testing including our review of the Council's implementation of IFRS 16. We plan to bring our Audit Findings Report to the November Audit Committee.

Progress at 30 September 2025

In the table below we have set out the current status of our audit work undertaken in the significant risk areas which we set out in our audit plan.

Significant Risk Area	Commentary
Management override of controls	We have: - evaluated the design and implementation of management controls over journals; - analysed the journals listing and determined the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals; and - identified unusual journals made during the year and the accounts production stage for appropriateness and corroboration. We have been provided with supporting evidence for all journals which we identified in as high risk or unusual journals, and we have reviewed all the evidence in order to conclude that we did not identify any inappropriate journal entries. Our work in this area is currently nearing completion and is currently subject to our internal review process.
Revaluation of land and buildings including council dwellings	We have: - evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to the valuation experts and the scope of their work; - assessed the competence, capability and objectivity of the valuation experts; and - selected items for testing For those Land and building assets which we selected for testing, we are currenting obtaining supporting calculations from the valuer and testing the source data and assumptions within this. For Council dwellings, we have considered the Councils use of the Beacon Approach to value these assets. Our valuation auditor expert is supporting us in this work. Our audit review of the revaluation of land and buildings including council dwellings is currently in progress.

Progress at 30 September 2025

Significant Risk Area	Commentary
Investment Properties Revaluations	We have: • evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to the valuation experts and the scope of their work; • assessed the competence, capability and objectivity of the valuation experts; and • selected items for testing For those investment properties assets which we selected for testing, we are obtaining supporting calculations from the valuer and testing the source data and assumptions within these. Our audit review of the revaluation of investment properties is currently in progress.
Valuation of the Pension Net Liability	We have: • updated our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the Council's pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluated the design of associated controls; • evaluated the instructions issued by management to their management expert (actuary) for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work; and • assessed the competence, capability and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Council's pension fund valuation; and. We are awaiting our letter of assurance from the auditors of Dorset Pension Fund. Our testing of the pension asset and liability and disclosures within the accounts is in progress.

Progress in September 2025 (continued)

Work on value-for-money arrangements

Under the 2020 Code of Audit Practice, we are required to undertake sufficient work to satisfy ourselves that the Council “has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.”

The NAO’s Code of Audit Practice sets out the framework for this work as follows:

- Financial sustainability: how the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;
- Governance: how the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks; and
- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness: how the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.

We are planning to report our findings in our interim Auditor’s Annual Report at the November Audit and Governance Committee.

Other areas

In addition to the statutory audit, the Council has also engaged us to conduct some additional assurance work. We refer to this as ‘non-audit services’ and there are strict rules in place setting out what work it is appropriate for External Auditors to undertake.

Further information is included within our 2024/25 audit plan, but the expected work in 2024/25 is the certification of:

- Teachers’ Pensions return; and
- Pooled Capital Receipts return;

In each case the work required is determined by the funding body – for example the Teachers Pension Agency for the Teacher’ Pensions return.

Audit Deliverables

Below are some of the audit deliverables planned for 2024/25

2024/25 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council’s 2024/25 financial statements.	Presented April 2025	Complete
Auditor’s Annual Report This report communicates the key outputs of the audit, including our commentary on the Council’s value for money arrangements.	November 2025	Not due yet
Audit Findings Report The Audit Findings Report will be reported to the Audit Committee.	November 2025	Not due yet
Auditors Report This includes the opinion on your financial statements.	December 2025	Not yet due

Sector Updates

Lessons from 2023/24 auditors' annual reports

Recommended reading for Audit Committees:

In August 2025, we published a review of 100 Auditors' Annual Reports (AARs) produced by Grant Thornton for our local government audited bodies across England. This represents about a third of all councils in the country. The AARs offer a wealth of insights on what works, and what doesn't, when it comes to value for money and governance.

The reports in our sample showed that financial sustainability remains the major challenge for the majority of councils. Poor governance has led to some councils depleting their reserves and others incurring excessive borrowing, which current government policies around exceptional financial support and statutory override for dedicated schools grant deficits are not helping.

Common challenges for councils include gaps in risk management; high vacancy rates in internal audit; de-centralised contract management; under-supported project management; and the need for stronger, timelier data on performance. For Councils with Housing Revenue Accounts, there are also significant challenges with identifying, costing and managing high volumes of backlog repairs and maintenance work needed to meet regulatory standards.

However, with this being the second year of reporting on lessons from AARs, we also charted notable examples of cases where arrangements have been strengthened since 2022/23, yielding benefits. As well as good practice questions and reminders, the report includes case studies showing better: Control over transformation planning; approach to internal audit; project management; key performance indicator reporting; and rightsized workforce.

AAR findings in August 2025 can be compared to those from one year earlier by accessing the two years of full reporting here:

[Lessons from 2023/24 auditors' annual reports](#)

[Lessons from recent auditor's annual reports](#)



Financial Instruments in Local Government Accounts (1)

Recommended reading for Audit Committees:

Financial instruments are contracts that give rise to a financial asset for one party and a financial liability or equity instrument for another. In local government, these include a wide range of arrangements such as cash, loans, trade receivables and payables, pooled investments, financial guarantees, and more complex instruments like derivatives or loans with embedded features.

These instruments are governed by accounting standards and can significantly influence how a council's financial position and performance are presented in the accounts. Proper identification and treatment of these instruments are essential to ensure that financial statements reflect the true nature of the authority's financial commitments and exposures.

The accounting for financial instruments is not just a technical exercise. It has real implications for financial planning, risk management, and public accountability. Misclassification or incorrect measurement can lead to material misstatements, unexpected financial impacts, or audit challenges. Financial instruments can affect key areas such as the General Fund, usable reserves, and statutory reporting.

Ensuring that these instruments are correctly accounted for supports transparency, compliance with professional and statutory requirements, and the safeguarding of public resources.

We have recently released a thought leadership report, "Local authority accounting: Avoiding pitfalls in financial instruments" which covers financial instruments in detail.

Our full report includes insight about some of the potential pitfalls relating to financial instruments that can occur in local authority accounts. In addition, each section includes a range of challenge questions for authorities to consider.

The table on the next page highlights key areas of focus in accounting for financial instruments, along with explanatory context and suggested questions that Audit Committee members may wish to raise with management.

The full report is available here:

[Local authority accounting: Avoiding pitfalls in financial instruments | Grant Thornton](#)



Financial Instruments in Local Government Accounts (2)

Key areas of focus in accounting for financial instruments, along with explanatory context and suggested questions for Audit Committees to ask:

Area of Focus	Description	Challenge Questions
Identification	Proper identification ensures that all relevant instruments are captured in the financial statements and assessed for risk and impact. While some items like loans and investments are obvious, others may be less visible.	- How have you ensured that all financial instruments, including less obvious or complex arrangements, have been identified? What controls are in place? - Have any new or unusual arrangements been reviewed for potential financial instrument implications?
Classification	Financial instruments must be classified based on how they are managed and the nature of their cash flows. Classification determines how movements are reported in the financial statements and can influence the volatility of reported results.	- What process is followed to determine the classification of financial instruments, and how do you ensure that the classification reflects the nature of the financial instrument, including both business purpose and characteristics? - Have any instruments been classified differently this year, and if so, why?
Measurement	Once identified and classified, financial instruments must be measured appropriately. Measurement affects reported balances and income, and errors can lead to misstatements.	- What valuation methods are used for financial instruments, and how are they validated? Were any experts required during this process? - Do changes in assumptions or market conditions require remeasurement?
Disclosure	Disclosures help users of the financial statements understand the nature, significance, and risks of financial instruments. Disclosures should be tailored to your specific circumstances, avoiding unnecessary complexity or boilerplate language.	- How do you ensure that disclosures are tailored to reflect the authority's specific financial instruments and risks, and are any additional disclosures required for unusual or complex financial instruments, or for particular risks? - Are disclosures complete, clear, and free from unnecessary detail?
Other Considerations	Other considerations include soft loans, capital treatment of financial assets, statutory overrides, and the requirement to make prudent revenue provisions (MRP) for certain losses. These adjustments can have a direct impact on financial planning and budget setting.	- Have all relevant statutory overrides and adjustments been correctly applied and disclosed, and what impact have these adjustments had on the General Fund or other usable reserves? - Are expected credit losses / impairments reflected in the financial strategy?

Other structural changes

Key information for Audit Committees to be aware of:



Multi-year allocations – 11th June 2025

The Spending Review on 11th June 2025 committed to multi-year allocations through the upcoming 2026/27 Local Government Finance Settlement. An assessment of each council's needs and resources was also committed to.

[Spending Review 2025 \(HTML\) - GOV.UK](#)

Additional information on the Spending Review and Fair Funding Review can be seen on pages 22 and 23 of this update.



Simplified local structures – 24th June 2025

The Minister of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government announced on 24th June 2025 that Councils with a committee system will be required to transition to a leader and cabinet model. He also announced a ban on creating new directly elected council mayors.

[Written statements - Written questions, answers and statements - UK Parliament](#)



Pensions pooling – 11th August 2025

Seven Council pension funds announced plans to join the Border to Coast pool on 11th August 2025. The government has committed to allow some “limited flexibility” to other administering authorities looking for new asset pools (moving away from Access and Brunel) but does expect all to conform as closely as possible to the 31 March 2026 deadline for meeting new minimum standards set for asset pooling.

[Pension Investment Review Final Report](#)



English Devolution and Community Empowerment

The English Devolution and Community Empowerment Bill was presented to the House of Commons and given its first reading on 10th July 2025; and its second reading on 2nd September 2025. With ayes of 365 and noes of 164 on 2nd September, the Bill now moves to Committee stage.

[English Devolution and Community Empowerment Bill](#)

Local government financial sustainability

Key information for Audit Committees to be aware of:

On 18th June 2025, the Committee of Public Accounts reported that “MHCLG has implemented short-term and unsustainable approaches to keep local government afloat”.

As evidence, the Committee reported that :

- ❖ Forty-two local authorities had to receive exceptional financial support;
- ❖ Spending on special educational needs and disabilities has outstripped the money available from the Department for Education to pay for it.

Adding to concern, the Committee also reported:

- ❖ MHCLG does not know if the billions spent delivering services locally results in better outcomes for people;
- ❖ Neither MHCLG nor HM Treasury have assessed the impact that increases in national insurance contributions will have; and
- ❖ There is significant uncertainty around how the proposed local government finance reforms and reorganisation will be implemented.

Two days later, on 20th June 2025, the government announced that the statutory override for dedicated schools grant deficits will be extended by another two years, until 31 March 2028. There is no clarity yet about how the debt associated with the grant will be managed once this new period of statutory override ends.

For wider debt burdens, the [LocalGov daily bulletin 19th August 2025](#), reported that Freedom of Information request responses from 254 councils found that:

- ❖ There has been a 60% increase in Council debt over the last sixty years; and
- ❖ Roughly a fifth of council tax revenue is being spent on payments for debt interest.

For a full copy of the Committee of Public Accounts report see [Local Government Financial Sustainability](#).



The Spending Review

Key questions for Audit Committees to ask officers:

- ❖ Have we calculated what impact the Spending Review will have on the assumptions in our medium-term financial plan?
- ❖ If the impact is negative, what mitigation is planned?

Background:

The Spending Review on 12th February 2025 did not directly address local government debt (other than that in some cases exceptional financial support increases the debt). However, the Spending Review did provide an additional £3.3 billion of grant funding in real terms for local authorities in 2028/29 compared with 2023/24. This included:

- ❖ Over £4 billion of funding available for adult social care in 2028-29 compared to 2025/26.
- ❖ £555 million to help more children stay with their families; and £560 million, between 2026/27 and 2029/30, to refurbish and expand children's homes and foster care placements.
- ❖ £39 billion for a successor to the Affordable Homes Programme over 10 years from 2026/27 to 2035/36.

- ❖ £100 million for a new community partnership approach to spending on adults with complex needs.

The Spending Review also announced a new £3.25 billion Transformation Fund to support the reform of public services so that they are focused on prevention, including for special educational needs and disability and homelessness.

The intention is that investment in digital technology and artificial intelligence transformation programmes will drive productivity improvements and help to deliver the government's missions.

[Spending Review 2025 \(HTML\) - GOV.UK](#)



Fair Funding Review 2.0

Key questions for Audit Committee to ask officers:

- ❖ What impact do we expect the Fair Funding Review to have on our medium-term financial plan?
- ❖ Have we calculated what level of support we will need from transitional arrangements?
- ❖ What mitigations are we planning if we don't receive transitional support?

Background:

Between June and August 2025, the government ran a [public Fair Funding Review consultation](#) on how it should implement Fair Funding Review 2, including on how the local government grant system should be made fairer and how transitional arrangements should work.

Under the Fair Funding Review, significant changes to the grant funding system for English local government are now expected to take effect on 1st April 2026, for the 2026/27 financial year. It is expected that grant funding will be allocated to English local authorities using a three-part system, consisting of an assessment of relative need, based on socio-economic indicators; an area costs adjustment; and a resource assessment, measuring the capacity of each council to raise council tax.

It is expected that:

- ❖ There will be no further retained business rates revenue;
- ❖ Recent spending on social care and deprivation will influence the formula; and
- ❖ There will be reduced funding for Councils with higher capacity to raise council tax.

The new methodology will apply to the Revenue Support Grant, which will also swallow up several other smaller grants that Councils currently receive.

Because the existing system has been untouched for many years, and because no new money will accompany the review, there are likely to be some very large changes to some councils' funding allocations.

The [Local Government Information Unit](#) recently argued that “in many ways (the changes) will start to put England back onto its pre-2013 footing”; and a three-year transitional period has been proposed.

Nevertheless, the changes are going to be difficult for some Councils to absorb, especially those that already have other issues with their financial sustainability.



Public procurement

Key questions for Audit Committee to ask officers:

- ❖ How much do we currently spend per annum on contracts with small and medium-sized enterprises and voluntary, community and social enterprises?
- ❖ Do we test whether our suppliers pay their creditors within appropriate timescales?
- ❖ Which outsourced services, if any, have we assessed to test whether outsourcing is still the best solution?

Background:

Between June and September 2025, the government consulted on public procurement. With an estimated £385 billion spent through public procurement every year, the consultation is intended to support implementation of the new National Procurement Policy Statement.



Cabinet Office

Proposals that are being consulted on include:

- ❖ Mandating large contracting authorities with procurement spend over £100 million per annum to publish their own 3-year target for direct spend with small and medium-sized enterprises and voluntary, community and social enterprises; and report against it annually;
- ❖ excluding suppliers from bidding for major contracts (over £5 million per annum) if they cannot demonstrate they pay their invoices within an average of 60 days;
- ❖ requiring contracting authorities to make a standard assessment before procuring a major contract to test whether service delivery should be inhouse or outsourced;
- ❖ mandating contracting authorities to carry out a public interest test prior to making a sourcing decision on major service contracts; and
- ❖ requiring contracting authorities to publish the results of the public interest test in the tender notice.

The government states that the proposals will “open up more opportunities for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and voluntary, community, and social enterprises (VCSEs), which are vital for driving the UK economy”.

For a full understanding of the proposals that were put forward, follow this link: [Public Procurement: Growing British industry, jobs and skills](#)

Keeping fit for the future



Key question for Audit Committees to ask officers:

- ❖ What changes to governance structures do we expect the new ten-year health plan to have on us?
- ❖ How are we preparing?

Background:

On 3rd July 2025, the government outlined the new ten-year NHS plan [Fit for the future](#). The plan points to a closer working partnership between local government and Integrated Care Board (ICBs), stating that:

- ❖ The number of ICBs will be reduced from 42 and the remaining ICBs will then be encouraged to adjust their boundaries to match those of new combined authorities;
- ❖ the government's aim over ten years is that ICBs will be coterminous with strategic authorities wherever feasibly possible;
- ❖ Integrated Care Partnerships will be abolished but in future, a neighbourhood health plan will be drawn up by local government, the NHS and its partners at single or upper tier authority level under the leadership of the Health and Wellbeing Board, incorporating public health, social care, and the Better Care Fund;
- ❖ mayors are going to replace local government representatives on ICB Boards;
- ❖ local authorities are going to take up Local HealthWatch social care functions; and
- ❖ from 2026, every single or upper tier local authority will be required to participate in an external public health peer review exercise, on a 5-year cycle, with the results directly informing local plans.

Keeping the leisure estate fit for the future

Key question for Audit Committees to ask officers:

- ❖ How are repairs and maintenance and replacement costs for our leisure estate reflected in our medium-term financial plan?
- ❖ Are we on track to cover replacement costs for the leisure estate?

Background:

Some £400 million was announced in [Fit for the future](#) for grassroots sports facilities, but it is not yet clear how much of that will be directed to local authorities. On 2nd August 2025, the [Local Government Association](#) reported that:

- ❖ Since 2010, 500 swimming pools have closed, representing a loss of over 34,000 square metres of water space. Nearly half of the closures occurred in the last five years.
- ❖ 63 per cent of main sports halls and 60 per cent of swimming pools are beyond their expected lifespans or in need of refurbishment.
- ❖ 24 per cent of council areas face the risk of reducing or closing leisure services due to rising energy and operational costs.

An early understanding of the condition of the estate will help to maximise the effectiveness of any funding that does become available to Councils.



Asylum seekers update

Key questions for Audit Committees to ask their officers:

- ❖ How do we capture and report accommodation costs?
- ❖ Have we calculated whether costs are matched by grant income received?
How are we managing any difference?
- ❖ What are our safeguarding responsibilities? What assurance do we have that we are meeting them?
- ❖ What assurance do we have that we are meeting our duty of care to children and vulnerable adults?

Background:

On 29th August 2025, the Court of Appeal ruled that The Bell Hotel in Epping Forest can continue to house asylum seekers, overturning an interim injunction that Epping Forest District Council had secured ten days previously to restrain the use of the hotel for such a purpose unless planning permission was granted. The Council was then denied the opportunity to appeal to the Supreme Court.

[Home Office data](#) published on 21st August 2025 shows that 115 other Councils currently have hotels within their areas that are housing asylum seekers. Those Councils may have been watching the outcome of The Bell Hotel case closely.

[The National Audit Office](#) recently estimated that it costs £15.3 billion per annum to house asylum seekers in hotels; and that hotel accommodation accounts for 76% of the annual cost of asylum contracts but houses only 35% of people in asylum accommodation system.

The [Spending Review 2025](#) committed to ending government use of asylum hotels during the current Parliament. The expectation is that these will be replaced by central government owned accommodation, probably delivered by purchasing tower blocks and former student accommodation. However, no timeline has been set for this initiative yet. Without a timeline, hotel costs are likely to be incurred and need managing for some time yet.



Future Webinars for Audit Committee members



We plan to hold a webinar for members of Audit Committees on 27th January 2026. Invitations will be available shortly on our website or can be obtained from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Areas our webinar will help with include:

Managing debt:

Understanding the true level of debt across all sources;

Assessing the viability of plans for debt repayment;

Understanding and assessing current and future exposure to risk; and

Best practice for Councils managing debt.

Local government reorganisation:

Understanding and anticipating outcomes from the latest submissions;

Managing change whilst waiting for decision announcements; and

Preparing for next steps after decision announcements.

Audit Committee resources

The Audit Committee and organisational effectiveness in local authorities (CIPFA):

<https://www.cipfa.org/services/support-for-audit-committees/local-authority-audit-committees>

LGA Regional Audit Forums for Audit Committee Chairs

These are convened at least three times a year and are supported by the LGA. The forums provide an opportunity to share good practice, discuss common issues and offer training on key topics. Forums are organised by a lead authority in each region. Please email ami.beeton@local.gov.uk LGA Senior Adviser, for more information.

Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/public-sector-internal-audit-standards>

Code of Audit Practice for local auditors (NAO):

<https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/>

Governance risk and resilience framework: material for those with a leadership responsibility on good governance (CfGS):

<https://www.cfgs.org.uk/material-for-those-with-a-leadership-responsibility-on-good-governance/>

The Three Lines of Defence Model (IAA)

<https://www.theiia.org/globalassets/documents/resources/the-iias-three-lines-model-an-update-of-the-three-lines-of-defense-july-2020/three-lines-model-updated-english.pdf>

Risk Management Guidance / The Orange Book (UK Government):

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/orange-book>

CIPFA Guidance and Codes

The following all have a charge, so do make enquiries to determine if copies are available within your organisation.

Audit Committees: Practical Guidance For Local Authorities And Police

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/a/audit-committees-practical-guidance-for-local-authorities-and-police-2022-edition>

Delivering Good Governance in Local Government

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/d/delivering-good-governance-in-local-government-framework-2016-edition>

Financial Management Code

<https://www.cipfa.org/fmcode>

Prudential Code

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/t/the-prudential-code-for-capital-finance-in-local-authorities-2021-edition>

Treasury Management Code

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/t/treasury-management-in-the-public-services-code-of-practice-and-crossectoral-guidance-notes-2021-edition>



© 2025 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions. This publication has been prepared only as a guide. No responsibility can be accepted by us for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material in this publication.